

**LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD**  
**Austin, Texas**

**FISCAL NOTE, 82ND LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION**

**May 8, 2011**

**TO:** Honorable Byron Cook, Chair, House Committee on State Affairs

**FROM:** John S O'Brien, Director, Legislative Budget Board

**IN RE: SB652** by Hegar (relating to governmental entities subject to the sunset review process.),  
**Committee Report 2nd House, Substituted**

**Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds** for SB652, Committee Report 2nd House, Substituted: an impact of \$0 through the biennium ending August 31, 2013.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

**General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds</b>
2012	\$0
2013	\$0
2014	\$0
2015	\$0
2016	\$0

**All Funds, Five-Year Impact:**

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Probable Savings/(Cost) from <i>Appropriated Receipts</i> 666</b>	<b>Probable Revenue Gain from <i>Appropriated Receipts</i> 666</b>
2012	(\$695,570)	\$695,570
2013	(\$278,706)	\$278,706
2014	\$0	\$0
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0

**Fiscal Analysis**

The bill would amend the general laws relating to the timing of the sunset review process for numerous governmental entities. The bill would add certain governmental entities as subject to the sunset review process and require those entities to reimburse the Sunset Commission based on the Commission's estimated costs.

**Methodology**

Other than the entities identified below, which would be required to reimburse the Sunset Commission for costs incurred for the sunset review process related to their respective operations, it is assumed that costs associated with implementation of the provisions of this bill could be absorbed within existing resources for all remaining agency reviews conducted by the Commission.

The bill would subject the following entities to sunset review, but not abolishment. Costs identified include salaries for additional full-time equivalent positions to conduct and issue recommendations, as well as travel and production costs.

\$190,709 in fiscal year 2012 and \$74,316 in fiscal year 2013 for the University Interscholastic League (UIL), including 3 FTEs and 1 FTE each fiscal year, respectively.

\$192,709 in fiscal year 2012 and \$74,316 in fiscal year 2013 for the Texas Windstorm Insurance Association (TWIA), including 3 FTEs and 1 FTE each fiscal year, respectively.

\$204,709 in fiscal year 2012 and \$74,316 in fiscal year 2013 for tollway authorities created under Chapter 366, Transportation Code (assumed to be the North Texas Tollway Authority (NTTA)), including 3 FTEs and 1 FTE each fiscal year, respectively.

\$107,443 in fiscal year 2012 and \$55,758 in fiscal year 2013 for the Gulf Coast Water Authority (GCWA), including 2 FTEs and 1 FTE each fiscal year, respectively.

### **Local Government Impact**

Local governmental entities (NTTA and GCWA) subject to the sunset review process would be required to reimburse the Sunset Commission for costs associated with the review.

**Source Agencies:** 116 Sunset Advisory Commission

**LBB Staff:** JOB, KJG, MS